

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL

Case No.	CV 25-03489-MRA (MARx)	Date	July 16, 2025
Title	Erica Hampton et al v. Caraway Home Inc.		

Present: The Honorable	MONICA RAMIREZ ALMADANI, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
------------------------	---

Melissa H. Kunig

Deputy Clerk

None Present

Court Reporter

Attorneys Present for Plaintiffs:

None Present

Attorneys Present for Defendants:

None Present

Proceedings: (IN CHAMBERS) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE DISMISSAL FOR LACK OF PROSECUTION

The Court, on its own motion, hereby ORDERS plaintiff(s) to show cause in writing no later than **July 21, 2025**, why this action should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution as to all defendants.

As an alternative to a written response by plaintiff(s), the Court will consider the filing of one of the following on or before the above date as an appropriate response to this Order:

- ☒ Answer to Complaint (F.R.Civ.P. 12(a));
- ☒ Request for Entry of Default (F.R.Civ.P. 55); or
- ☒ Notice of Voluntary Dismissal (F.R.Civ.P. 41(a))

Absent a showing of good cause, an action may be dismissed if the summons and complaint have not been served upon all defendants within 90 days after the filing of the complaint. Fed. R. Civ. P 4(m). It is the responsibility of the plaintiff(s) to respond promptly to all Orders and to prosecute the action diligently, including by filing mandatory proofs of service and stipulations or motions extending time to respond. If necessary, the plaintiff(s) must also pursue remedies promptly under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 55 upon the default of any defendant. The Court must approve all stipulations affecting the progress of the case. Local Rule 7-1.

No oral argument regarding this matter will be heard unless ordered by the Court. This Order will stand submitted upon the filing of a responsive pleading or motion on or before the date upon which a response by the plaintiff(s) is due.

Initial of Deputy Clerk mku